

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,099	09/04/2001	Suk Won Choi	054358-5005	1756
9629 75	7590 · 02/19/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			DUONG, TAI V	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. CHOI ET AL. 09/944.099 Advisory Action Art Unit Examiner 2871 Tai Duong -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\times \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The ranges of the newly amended claim 1 and newly added claim 5 raise new issues.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other:

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the same reasons set forth in the Final rejection. Applicant's remarks regarding Yoshida et al are not persuasive because the unit storage capacitance is calculated based on the specicified pixel area S (Yoshida, col.25, line 62), not based on the storage capacitance electrode area. See Applicant's remarks regarding the unit storage capacitance and the specified pixel area on page 4 of the amendent dated 19 February 2003 in response to the 112 rejection raised in the Office action dated 12 December 2002

2/11/04

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER